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The advent of low-cost, high-brightness LED technology has resulted in the proliferation of large-format digital billboards (DBBs) in roadside advertising and on-premise signing applications.
A Proliferation of Digital Billboards
Critical Characteristics of DBBs

• Continuously updated content (greater information “bandwidth”)
• Attention-capturing dynamic updating (flashing; scrolling text; animation)
• High potential for driver information processing overload that interferes with safe and efficient operation of motor vehicles (increased eyes-off-road time)
Some Noteworthy Findings...

- **Beijer (2002)**
  Dynamic DBBs associated with glances of excessive duration (2 sec or longer)

- **Smiley, et al. (2004)**
  Freeway drivers look at DBBs longer and more often than traditional signage

- **Beijer, et al. (2004)**
  88% of drivers exhibited long duration glances at DBBs with dynamic content (i.e., 750+ msec)
Entry-Level Question:

How much text information can a driver extract from a large-format DBB before driving performance is degraded?

Knowing the answer to this question could help guide the process of deploying sign content that conveys the critical message without imposing an unnecessary risk upon drivers.
Experimental Approach

• Increase amount of text information on DBBs until driving performance becomes degraded

• Assess how drivers manage increasing visual demands of signage via reading performance, total glance time and number of glances to signs

• Such a “Push-until-you-Break” strategy demands use of a driving simulator approach
But, there’s a big problem...

Driving simulators based upon computer graphic displays are not well suited to studying drivers’ sign reading performance.

(Illustrated on the followings slides)
A Large-Format DBB Rendered at 300-ft is not Legible in a typical Driving Simulator

(Same DBB would be easily read at 300-ft in the “real world”)
Large DBB cannot be properly rendered with 100 x 29 pixel resolution available in this example.
Large DBBs can be rendered with sufficient resolution to support real-world legibility distances in a HYBRID simulator.
Hybrid DBB Driving Sim Prototype (Video)

Critical Advantage of Hybrid Approach: Number of pixels used to render text message does not change with simulated viewing distance. Display resolution does not set the limit of legibility performance.
Experimental Protocol

• Read DBB stimulus aloud while maintaining speed and lateral control of vehicle

• **Experimental Factors:**
  - **Message Length**: 4, 8, or 12 unrelated words (independent linguistic units)
  - **Driving Speed**: 25 vs. 50 MPH (8 vs. 4 sec stimulus exposure time) (collapsed across straight vs curved road condition)

• Driving performance, reading behavior and eye glance position data were collected for off-line analysis (N=18; 20/28 or better acuity)
Simulated DBB Display

• **800 x 600 LCD panel**
  
  0.5-inch tall letters
  
  (i.e., 10-inch tall or 10 minarc at 300-ft)
  
  white-on-black format
  
  85% contrast; 85 cd/m² luminance

• **20-ft motorized linear actuator**
  
  300-ft of travel; scale = 1:20
  
  computer controlled stepper motor
Results

• Vehicular Control
  **SD Lane Position** (across 3 Epochs)
    - **Baseline** (8 sec before sign reading)
    - **Inspection** (during sign encounter)
    - **Recovery** (8 sec after sign reading)

• **Reading Performance**

• **Eye Glance Behavior**
  - Total eyes-off-road time
  - Number of glances
SD Lane Position

(2) Speed x (3) Message Length x (3) Epoch Interaction
Vehicular Control

No effect of Msg Length (as expected)
Vehicular Control

No effect of Msg Length (as expected)

Better vehicular control at 25 MPH
Vehicular Control

No effect of Message Length (Surprising?)
Vehicular Control

No effect of Message Length (Surprising?)

Lateral control advantage at 25 MPH disappears
Vehicular Control

- No effect of Message Length (Surprising?)
- Lateral control advantage at 25 MPH disappears
- Unexpected migration of degraded vehicular control to Recovery phase
Vehicular Control

Unexpected migration of degraded vehicular control to Recovery phase
Vehicular Control

Analysis of first derivative of lane position revealed that a slow, unidirectional “drift” occurred during sign reading followed by a high-amplitude “correction” after the encounter with the DBB.

Unexpected migration of degraded vehicular control to Recovery phase.
# Reading Performance and Gaze Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (MPH)</th>
<th>Message Length</th>
<th>Reading %Correct</th>
<th>msec per word</th>
<th>EoRT</th>
<th>EoRT Duty Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2346</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3676</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>4803</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1861</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2902</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>3484</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effects of Diminishing Time Availability upon Reading Performance

Asymptotic reading performance when time availability exceeded 1000 msec per word
Effects of Diminishing Time Availability upon Reading Performance

Reading performance began to suffer a bit when time availability dropped to 500-700 msec per word.
Effects of Diminishing Time Availability upon Reading Performance

Reading performance was significantly degraded when time availability dropped below 500 msec per word.
Visual information processing capacity was able to keep up with demand as message length increased (25 MPH)
Visual information processing capacity shortfall was observed as available processing time fell to 500 ms per linguistic unit or less (4000 ms/8 words = 500 ms/word).
Eyes-Off-Road-Time Duty Cycle

EORT Duty Cycle = Total EORT
\[ \text{Stimulus Presentation Time} \]

Reading performance was degraded when glance time exceeded 50% of the total time available to read the DBB.
Glance Frequency data depicts the same visual information processing “overload” scenario seen for the EoRT data.
Summary of Findings

• Little evidence for visual processing bottleneck or loss of vehicular control at 25 MPH

• Significant visual information processing overload and interference with vehicular control was observed at higher speed (where available sign reading time was reduced to 4 sec)
Summary of Findings

• Both reading performance and vehicular control were degraded when available processing time dropped below 500 msec per independent linguistic unit (i.e., unrelated word) and/or where EoRT Duty Cycle exceeded 50%

• Negative impact on vehicular control was characterized by unidirectional drift (“lane neglect”) instead of increased oscillation
Summary of Findings

• Lane neglect accrued during sign reading was accompanied by a large amplitude corrective maneuver AFTER reading was finished

• “Max change in lane position”
  or
  “First derivative of lane position” (or yaw rate) may be better suited to quantifying vehicular control during sign reading than traditional RMS measures
Summary of Findings

- The **hybrid simulator approach** appears to be well suited to the study of sign reading behavior while driving.

- The hybrid simulator can support more comprehensive studies aimed at optimizing the design of complex/dynamic elements of DBBs such as text scrolling, page update rates and animation.
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Appendix

• Angular velocity as a function of distance
• More detailed EoRT plots
• Picture of stepper motor/transport cart
• Oscillation vs continuous drift (SD Position)
Appendix
Stepping Motor, Actuator Rail and Transport Cart
Sine Oscillation vs Linear Drift